

Assessment and Classification of the Coal Seams Mechanization Under Geological Conditions (Case Study: Eastern Alborz Basin and Tabas)

R. Mikaeil^{1*}, E. Jafarnejad Gharahasanlou², A. Jafarpour³

Abstract

Nowadays, very important issues are considered by geological and mining engineers in extraction process of minerals (especially coal deposits). The important goals are: productivity and high production rates, improve working conditions and lower operating costs. The most suitable option to achieve these goals is to replace the traditional extraction methods with full-mechanized methods. The mechanization of the extraction operations is time consuming process and it requires high investment costs. So, pay attention to this, cause special sensitivity in all design and implementation phases. Therefore, it is important to design, investigate and identify the capability of mechanization of coal seams in the first stage. For this purpose, the engineering capabilities of the coal seams of different mines should be analyzed that is important and necessary to achieve the above goals. Therefore, criteria affecting the mechanization of coal seams were identified (such as: seam inclination, seam thickness, seam uniformity, seam extension, floor and roof quality of seam and water condition). Then, 17 Stopes were studied that located in the Eastern Alborz Basin and Tabas mine. The combined used method to evaluate mechanization capability is combination method of Fuzzy set theory and Fuzzy Delphi Analytic Hierarchy Process (FDAHP). The results of the fuzzy classification system presented in current study show that the *T* seam of Tabas has a good quality, the *KII* seam of the Takht mine is located in the moderate class and other seams have not qualify for mechanization properly. In the following, the results of this study were validated with current mining conditions. It was found to have a credible reputation for the real conditions of the mines. The results of the study showed that the multifactorial fuzzy classification system can reliably use to classify the mechanization of coal seams based on geological conditions.

Keywords: *Mechanization, classification, fuzzy theory, Eastern Alborz, Tabas*

¹ Associate Professor, Faculty of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, Urmia University of Technology

² MSc Graduated, Faculty of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, Urmia University of Technology

³ PhD Student, Department of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, Yazd University

* Corresponding Author

Extended Abstract:

1. Introduction

In recent years, along with extraction of different minerals, many important goals (such as: increasing production rates, reducing costs, improving working conditions and increasing the productivity of mining) are on the agenda of designers and engineers. They are required to provide solutions to achieve the goals. The mechanized extraction stopes can be a good option for achieving these goals. The mechanization is using machines and advanced equipment to carry out activities. In the mining industry, the use of advanced machinery and equipment to improve working conditions, increase productivity, and increase safety, from extraction to production of the final product. The experience gained from mine mechanization suggests that in addition to geological conditions and physical-mechanical technology, other factors such as the change in management team, organizational structures, supporting industries, access to technical knowledge of the day, planning of work and how the mining operations are carried out in the process mechanization is effective. So, pay attention to productivity and high production rates, cause special sensitivity in all design and implementation phases. Therefore, it is important to design, investigate and identify the capability of mechanization of coal layers in the first stage. For this purpose, the engineering capabilities of the coal layers of different mines should be analyzed that is important and necessary to achieve the above goals. Therefore, criteria affecting the mechanization of coal layers were identified (such as: slope, thickness and uniformity of layers, the quality of roof and floor and the water flow in the stopes). Then, 17 Stopes were studied that located in the Eastern Alborz Basin and Tabas mine. The combined used method to evaluate mechanization capability is combination method of Fuzzy set theory and Fuzzy Delphi Analytic Hierarchy Process (FDAHP).

2. Materials and methods

In the present study, in order to provide a classification system for assessing the mechanization of coal seams in the Eastern Alborz Basin and Tabas, which collectively includes 17 stopes, the fuzzy sets theory and multi-criteria fuzzy approach was used. The combined used method to evaluate mechanization capability is combination method of Fuzzy set theory and Fuzzy Delphi Analytic Hierarchy Process (FDAHP). In order to provide a fuzzy classification system for analysing the status of the coal seams of the Eastern Alborz basin and Tabas in terms of the ability to mechanize these seams, first, by presenting the methodological theory, the problem is challenged. Then, by calculating and analysing the outputs of the proposed method, they are evaluated. A is a fuzzy subset of the reference set X . The membership function A in the reference set X is defined as follows:

$$\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1] \quad (1)$$

Where: μ_A is membership degree of each member of the set A in the interval $[0,1]$. The zero value is used to represent the total absence of membership and the value of one to represent the full membership and all values between the two values are used to represent the average membership fee for each member of the set A . Usually a fuzzy set with a set of ordered pairs is represented by relation (2):

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)), x \in U\} \quad (2)$$

In which, the parameter U contains a finite set of X_i 's. Also, the fuzzy finite set can be represented as Eq. (3):

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\mu_{A(x_i)}} \quad (3)$$

In this study, the sigmoid function was used to solve the disability of conventional membership functions. A sigmoidal membership function is defined as Eq. (4):

$$\text{sig}(x; c, d) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-c \times (x - d)]} \quad (4)$$

3. Tests results

According to the results, the T seam of Tabas coal mine is located in good quality category and the $K11$ seam of Takht Mine is ranked the in moderate qualitative category for mechanization capability. The reason for this results is the low inclination and relatively high thickness of the seams compared to other studied seams, taking into account the importance of these geomechanical indices, but other coal seams, which include all seams of the tangent region and other seams of the Takht mine, have weak and very poor quality categories. From these seams, the T seam of Tabas mine is fully mechanized and the other seams are traditionally extracted, indicating the alignment of the results of this classification system with the actual behavior of the studied seams.

4. Conclusion

The results of the fuzzy classification system presented in current study show that the T seam of Tabas has a good quality, the $K11$ seam of the Takht mine is located in the middle class and other coal seams have not qualify for mechanization properly. In the following, the results of this study were validated with current mining conditions. It was found to have a credible reputation for the real conditions of the mines. The results of the study showed that the multifactorial fuzzy classification system can reliably use to classify the mechanization of coal seams based on geological conditions.

References:

- Aghanabati, S.A, 2004, Geology of Iran, Tehran: Geological Survey of Iran, 640 pp. (In Persian)
- Hosseini, H, Mikaeil, R, Hosseini, A.A, Ataei, M, 2012, Presentation of a new index to assess the capability of the Coal Mine Coalization Mechanization Mechanization Tazareh, Journal of Applied Geology, 8 (1): 19-25. (In Persian)
- Kharaghani, M, Dahrazma, B, Sargheini, J, Rahimi, M, 2010, Comparative Evaluation of Impact of Coal Mine on Plastering and Distribution of B and Br Elements in Water Resources of the Region, International Mining Congress. Tehran. Iran Mining House. (In Persian)
- East Alborz Technical Office, 2001, Exploration and equipping of coal mines. (In Persian)
- Technical Office of Mine Paradeh Tabas, 1997 , Exploration Report of Coal District (General Information). (In Persian)
- Rajabzadeh, M.A, Ghorbani, Z, Jalalifard, M, Mohammadzadeh, M, 2017, Geochemistry and evaluation of toxic elements processing in Proud coal, Tabas, Journal of Earth Sciences, 24 (96): 177-188. (In Persian)

- Razavi Armghani, M.B., Moin al-Sadat, H, 1993, Geology of Iran (Coal), Tehran: Publications of the Geological Survey of Iran. 286 pp. (In Persian)
- Sereshki, F, Vaezian, A, Saffari, A, 2016, Investigation of the Effect of Masalals on the Permeability of Particulate and Tin Mining Coal, *Journal of Stratigraphy and Sedimentology*, 32 (63): 23-34. (In Persian)
- Ataei, M, 2011, Underground Mining, Shahrood: Shahrood University of Technology, Vol. 2, 367 pp. (In Persian)
- Arab Ameri, M, Mirzaei, H, Mazraei, M, 2016, Investigating the Impact of the Scale on the Resistance of Rock Mass. - Case Study of Taseer Coal Mine, The 3rd Iranian National Coal Conference. (In Persian)
- Ghadernejad, S, Llaegani, S., Rajaati, M.H, 2016, Classification and Ability of Mechanization of Tazereh Coal Mine Workshops Using Analytical Hierarchy Process. Third National Congress of Iranian Coal Industry. Shahrood University of Technology. Shahrud, Iran. (In Persian)
- Ataei, M., Khalokakaei, R., Hossieni, M. 2009. Determination of coal mine mechanization using fuzzy logic. *Mining Science and Technology (China)*, 19(2): 149–154.
- Baglio, S., Fortuna, L., Graziani, S., Muscato, G. 1994. Membership function shape and the dynamic behaviour of fuzzy systems. *International journal of adaptive control and signal processing*. 8(4): 369-377.
- Bárdossy, G., Fodor, J. 2005. Assessment of the completeness of mineral exploration by the application of fuzzy arithmetic and prior information. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*. 2(1): 15-31.
- Bellman, R.E., Zadeh, L.A. 1970. Decision-making in a fuzzy environment. *Management science*. 17(4): B-141.
- Dubois, D., Prade, H. 1980. New results about properties and semantics of fuzzy set-theoretic operators. In *Fuzzy Sets*. Boston, MA: Springer. 59-75pp.
- Ghadernejad, S., Jafarpour, A., Ahmadi, P. 2019. Application of an integrated decision-making approach based on FDAHP and PROMETHEE for selection of optimal coal seam for mechanization; A case study of the Tazereh coal mine complex, Iran. *International Journal of Mining and Geo-Engineering*, (53)1: 15-23. DOI: 10.22059/ijmge.2018.255070.594727.
- Hattingh., T., S., Sheer., T., J., Du Plessis., A., G. 2010. Human factors in mine mechanization. In The 4th International Platinum Conference, Platinum in transition 'Boom or Bust'. The Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. 255-258.
- Hayaty, M., Tavakoli Mohammadi, M.R., Rezaei, A., Shayestehfar, M.R. 2014. Risk assessment and the ranking of metals using FDAHP and TOPSIS. *Mine Water and the Environment*. 33(2):157–164. DOI: 10.1007/s10230-014-0263-y.
- Hoseinie, S., Ataei, M., Osanloo, M. 2009. A new classification system for evaluating rock penetrability. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences* 46(8): 1329-1340. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2009.07.002.
- Hosseini, S.M., Mikaeil, R., Ataei, M., Khalokakaei, R., Akhyani, M. 2013. Development a new classification for assessing the coal mine mechanization. *Archives of Mining Sciences* 58(1): 217–226. DOI: 10.2478/amsc-2013-0015.
- Hosseini, S., A., Ataei, M., Hosseini, M., Akhani, M. 2012. Application of fuzzy logic for determining of coal mine mechanization”, *Journal of Coal Science & Engineering*. 18(3): 225-231.
- Jang, J.S.R, Sun, C.T. 1997. *Neuro-Fuzzy and soft computing, a computational approach to learning and machine intelligence*. Prentice-Hall. Inc. pp. 24-30.
- Kaymak, U. 1998. Fuzzy decision making with control applications. PhD Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands.
- Li, H.X., Yen, V.C. 1995. Fuzzy sets and fuzzy decision making. CRC Press. 347pp.
- Liu, Y.C., Chen, C.S. 2007. A new approach for application of rock mass classification on rock slope stability assessment. *Engineering geology*. 89(1-2): 129–43. Doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.09.017.

- Mikaeil, R., Ozcelik, Y., Ataei, M., Yousefi, R. 2013. Ranking the sawability of ornamental stone using Fuzzy Delphi and multi-criteria decision-making techniques. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences* 58: 118–126. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2012.09.002.
- Ozfirat., M., K. 2012. A fuzzy Method for selection Underground Coal Mining Method Considering Mechanization Criteria. *Journal of Mining Science*. 48(3): 533-544.
- Piegat, A. 2001. *Fuzzy Modeling and Control (Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing)*. Physica. 742pp.
- Qiu, M., Shi, L., Teng, C., Zhou, Y. 2016. Assessment of water inrush risk using the Fuzzy Delphi Analytic Hierarchy Process and Grey Relational Analysis in the Liangzhuang coal mine-China. *Mine Water and the Environment*. 48(3): 533-544. DOI: 10.1007/s10230-016-0391-7.
- Saaty, T.L. 1994. How to make a decision: The analytic Hierarchy process. *Institute of Operation Research and the Management Sciences*. 24(6): 19-43. DOI: 10.1287/inte.24.6.19.
- Shariat Nia, H. 1993. Geological characteristics of the Parvadeh region of the Tabas coal – bearing basine, Central, Iran. Unpublished Text. 22pp.
- Sousa, J.M., Kaymak, U., 2002. Fuzzy decision making in modeling and control. *World Scientific*. Vol. 27. 315pp.
- Stefanko, R., Bise, C. 1983. *Coal mining technology: Theory and Practice*. Society for Mining Metallurgy. 410 pp.
- Tutmez, B., Kahraman, S., Gunaydin, O. 2007. Multifactorial fuzzy approach to the sawability classification of building stones. *Construction and Building Materials*. 21(8): 1672–1679.
- Unrug, K., Szwilski, T.B. 1982. Method of Roof quality prediction. *State-of-the-Art of Ground Control in Longwall Mining and Mining Subsidence*. 17pp.
- Walsh., J.J., Watterson, J., 1994, New methods of fault projection for coalmine planning. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*. 48(2): 209-219.
- Wang., J., Jiao., S., Cheng., G. 2011. Fully mechanized coal mining technology for thin coal seam under complicated geological conditions. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*. 29(2): 169–177.
- Yager, R.R. 1978. Fuzzy decision making including unequal objectives. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 1(2): 87–95.
- Yager, R.R., 1980. On a general class of fuzzy connectives. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 4(3): 235–242.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1965. Fuzzy Sets. *Inform and Control* 8(3)338-353. DOI: 10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1978. Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility. *Fuzzy sets and systems*. 1(1): 3-28.